

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER

10/03/2007

			•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,075	05/13/2005	Ludwig Hasemann	2002CH011	1918
25255 CLARIANT CO	7590 10/03/2007 ORPORATION		EXAM	INER
INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY DEPART	Ludwig Hasemann	KLEMANSKI, HELENE G	
4000 MONROI CHARLOTTE,		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				
	•			
		• • • •	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/535,075	HASEMANN, LUDWIG			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Helene Klemanski	1755			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	ilv 2007				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
.—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-10 is/are pending in the app	blication				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-10</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
u),	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau	<u>-</u>	or an and reasonar etage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/535,075 Page 2

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 1 and 5 have been amended, claims 4 and 11 have been deleted and no new claims have been added. Hence, claims 1-3 and 5-10 are pending in the application.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1, the twelfth line after the formula (I), the term "or" should be deleted and also in claim 1, the fifteenth and sixteenth lines after the formula (I), the phrase "with the proviso that at least one of the substituents R_5 , R_6 , R_7 , R_8 or R_9 is different from H" should be deleted since this phrase appears twice in claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Regarding claim 1, the phrase "which means" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). The use of this phrase suggests that the claimed composition may be produced to the desired end product with or without the inclusion of those components (i.e. limitations). Therefore, one must resort to

Art Unit: 1755

conjecture to ascertain applicants intended point. See <u>Ex parte Steigerwald</u>, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961). The examiner suggests the deletion of the phrase "which means" and the limitations following it.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-3 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB 1413315.

GB 1413315 teaches a water-soluble azo reactive dye of the formula

wherein Y₁ and Y₂ each independently represent H, an alkyl group, an alkoxy group or a sulfo group with the proviso that only one of Y₁ and Y₂ is H; A is an aromatic heterocyclic ring such as a benzothiazole ring that may be substituted with a sulfo group, a sulfamoyl group, N-lower alkyl-sulfamoyl group, N,N-di-lower alkyl sulfamoyl group, a carboxy group, an amino group, a lower alkyl amino group, a hydroxy group or

a lower alkoxy group; R is a group of the formula -SO₂-CH₂-CH₂-Z (i.e. corresponding to applicants substituent SO₂R, wherein R is a substituted alkyl group such as -CH₂CH₂-OH); Z represents a hydroxy group and v and w are both zero. The water-soluble azo reactive dyes are suitable for dyeing and printing various materials such as cellulose-containing fibrous materials. See page 1, lines 7-24, page 2, lines 35-60, page 3, lines 1-5, example 12 and claims 1-7, 17-20 and 29-31. GB 1413315 fails to specifically exemplify a monoazo dye wherein A is a substituted benzothiazole as claimed by applicants.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use the specific monoazo dye wherein A is a substituted benzothiazole as claimed by applicants as GB 1413315 also discloses the use of these monoazo dyes but fails to show an example incorporating them.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 5 is allowed.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: EP 1413315 fails to teach or fairly suggest a monoazo dye wherein there is a 6-membered ring annealed to the phenyl ring of the pyrazole group as claimed by applicants.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed July 23, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that each and every occurrence (i.e. in the examples) of the substituent R in the GB reference is a fiber reactive group. The examiner agrees, however, the GB reference does suggest that the R substituent can be a group of the formula –CH₂CH₂-Z wherein Z is a hydroxyl group. See pages 1 and 2 of the GB reference. It is the examiner's position that the group –CH₂CH₂-OH is a substituted alkyl group, which is one of the choices for applicants R₅ to R₉ (see pages 4, 5, 19, 23 and 24 of applicant's specification). A reference can be used for all it realistically teaches and is not limited to the disclosures in its specific examples". See <u>In re Van Marter et al</u> 144 USPQ 421; <u>In re Windmer et al</u> 147 USPQ 518, 523; and <u>In re Chapman et al</u> 148 USPQ 711. Accordingly, this action is final.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/535,075

Art Unit: 1755

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helene Klemanski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1370. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on (571) 272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or \$571,272-1000

> **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1755

September 19, 2007